

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/540,790	Applicant(s) BOURDONCLE ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeff H. Aftergut	Art Unit 1791	

All Participants:

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

(2) Charles Gagnebin.

Status of Application: new application

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2008 *gja*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

all

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jeff H. Aftergut
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the restriction of claim 19 from the remaining claims as it related to an article of manufacture which appears to have been suggested by Herring. Suggested that applicant elect the remaining method claims and cancel the non-elected article claim as the method of manufacture of the article was clearly patentable. Recommended the filing of a divisional application for consideration of the article claims. Applicant's representative agreed to these changes by examiner's amendment.